

REMARKS

This amendment is being filed in response to the Office Action of November 2, 2005. In the Office Action, the applicant has amended the specification to eliminate any informalities and has cancelled Claims 1-3. Claim 5 has been amended to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 by inserting "frame-arms" as suggested by the Examiner.

Claims 1-5 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tennant in view of Davis. Applicant has reviewed the Tennant reference and notes that there are considerable differences in the frame arms, which support patentability of applicant's invention. The addition of the Davis patent from an analogous toothbrush field, does not negate the patentability of the Claims as amended. The applicant has noted that Claims 6 and 7 contained allowable subject matter and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the basic claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, applicant has replaced Claim 6 with independent Claim 8 and made Claim 7 dependant thereon. Applicant has also made Claims 4 and 5 dependant upon this allowable main claim. In view of the difference, which exists between the present invention and the prime Tennant reference, it is contended that the claims as amended are patentable.

SUMMARY

Applicant has amended the specification to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and 35 U.S.C. 112. Consequently, reconsideration and allowance of this application with Claims 4, 5, 7 and 8 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

RICHARD A. JOEL
496 Kinderkamack Road
Oradell, NJ 07649
(201) 599-0588
Reg. No. 22212

Dated: February 2, 2006

6